

Problem set 1

Veniamin Morgenshtern, Helmut Bölcskei, and Giuseppe Durisi

Due date: 9. May 2019

Problem 1: Overcomplete expansion in \mathbb{R}^2

Consider the following example discussed in class. Given the vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we found that any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be represented as a linear combination

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \rangle \mathbf{e}_1 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 \rangle \mathbf{e}_2 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_3 \rangle \mathbf{e}_3$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 = 2\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_3, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_3 = -\mathbf{e}_1.$$

1. Find another set of vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_1, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_2, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_3$, neither of which is collinear to neither of the vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_3$ and such that any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be represented as

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_1 \rangle \mathbf{e}_1 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_2 \rangle \mathbf{e}_2 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}'_3 \rangle \mathbf{e}_3.$$

Hint: Look for another right-inverse of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Now consider the following example discussed in class. Given the vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be represented as

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2.$$

Show that \mathbf{x} can also be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \rangle \mathbf{e}_1 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 \rangle \mathbf{e}_2.$$

Is it possible in this case to find two other vectors $\mathbf{e}'_1, \mathbf{e}'_2$, neither of which is collinear to neither of the vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ such that

$$\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}'_1 \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}'_2 \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2?$$

If the answer is “yes”, find these vectors. If the answer is “no”, explain why this is not possible.

Problem 2: Equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Prove the following statement: if the elements f and g of a Hilbert space satisfy $|\langle f, g \rangle| = \|f\| \|g\|$ and $g \neq 0$, then $f = cg$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hint: Assume $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and $\langle f, g \rangle = 1$. Then $f - g$ and g are orthogonal, while $f = f - g + g$. Therefore, $\|f\|^2 = \|f - g\|^2 + \|g\|^2$.

Problem 3: Useful identities in a Hilbert Space

Prove that for any two elements f and g of a Hilbert space, the following equalities hold:

1. Parallelogram law

$$\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 = 2(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2)$$

2. Polarization identity

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left(\|f + g\|^2 - \|f - g\|^2 + i \left[\left\| \frac{f}{i} + g \right\|^2 - \left\| \frac{f}{i} - g \right\|^2 \right] \right)$$

Hint: Remember that in a Hilbert space the norm is defined through the inner product as

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}.$$

Problem 4: Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) as a signal expansion

The DFT of an N -point signal $f[k]$, $k = 0, \dots, N - 1$, is defined as

$$\widehat{f}[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f[k] e^{-i2\pi \frac{n}{N} k}.$$

Find the corresponding inverse transform and show that the DFT can be interpreted as a frame expansion in \mathbb{C}^N . Compute the frame bounds. Is the underlying frame special?

Problem 5: Unitary transformation of a frame

Let $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ be a frame for the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with frame bounds A and B . Let $\mathbb{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a unitary operator. Show that the set $\{\mathbb{U}g_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ is again a frame for \mathcal{H} and compute the corresponding frame bounds.

Problem 6: Redundancy of a frame

Let $\{\mathbf{g}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be a frame for \mathbb{C}^M with $N > M$. Assume that the frame vectors are normalized such that $\|\mathbf{g}_k\| = 1$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. The ratio N/M is called the redundancy of the frame.

1. Assume that $\{\mathbf{g}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a tight frame with frame bound A . Show that $A = N/M$.
2. Now assume that A and B are the frame bounds of $\{\mathbf{g}_k\}_{k=1}^N$. Show that $A \leq N/M \leq B$.

Problem 7: Frame bounds

Prove that the upper and the lower frame bound are unrelated: In an arbitrary Hilbert space \mathcal{H} find a set $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ with an upper frame bound $B < \infty$ but with the tightest lower frame bound $A = 0$; find another set $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ with lower frame bound $A > 0$ but with the tightest upper frame bound $B = \infty$. Is it possible to find corresponding examples in the finite-dimensional space \mathbb{C}^M ?

Problem 8: Tight frame as an orthogonal projection of an ONB

Let $\{\mathbf{e}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be an ONB for an N -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $M < N$, let \mathcal{H}' be an M -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}' . Show that $\{\mathbb{P}\mathbf{e}_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a tight frame for \mathcal{H}' . Find the corresponding frame bound.